

Mapping the Anisotropic non-linear Sigma Model to the sine-Gordon Model

Ethan Huecker

July 2025

1 Derivation

Our focus is the anisotropic nLSM of the form

$$S[\phi] = \int_x \left[\frac{\kappa_1}{2} (\partial_x \phi)^2 + \frac{\kappa_2}{2} (\partial_y \phi)^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

and we wish to derive the associated sine-Gordon model, which lies in the same universality class. To perform this mapping, we first note that this action describes the continuum limit of an XY model with partition function

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left(\kappa_1 \sum_r \cos(\Delta_x \phi(r)) + \kappa_2 \sum_r \cos(\Delta_y \phi(r)) \right), \quad (2)$$

which can be rewritten in the form (see (6))

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_{r,\mu} \sum_{n_\mu(r)=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left(-\frac{n_\mu^2(r)}{2\kappa_\mu} + i n_\mu(r) \Delta_\mu \phi(r) \right), \quad (3)$$

where $\int \mathcal{D}\phi = \prod_r \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi(r)$.

Proof: This will rely heavily on the Kogut paper [1]. For $\mu = x, y$, we can Fourier transform the bond variables,^a

$$\exp(-\kappa_\mu(1 - \cos(\Delta_\mu \phi(r)))) = \sum_{n_\mu(r)=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(in_\mu(r) \Delta_\mu \phi(r)) I_{n_\mu(r)}(\kappa_\mu), \quad (4)$$

where Δ_μ is the finite difference operator in direction μ . Noting the asymptotic behavior of the modified Bessel function,

$$I_{n_\mu(r)}(\kappa_\mu) = \frac{e^{\kappa_\mu}}{\sqrt{2\pi\kappa_\mu}} \left(1 - \frac{4n_\mu^2(r) - 1}{8\kappa_\mu} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa_\mu^{-2}) \right) \approx \frac{e^{\kappa_\mu}}{\sqrt{2\pi\kappa_\mu}} \exp \left(-\frac{4n_\mu^2(r) - 1}{8\kappa_\mu} \right) \approx C \exp \left(-\frac{n_\mu^2(r)}{2\kappa_\mu} \right), \quad (5)$$

where C is a constant which can be pulled outside the partition function, I can equivalently write

$$\exp(\kappa_\mu \cos(\Delta_\mu \phi(r))) \propto \sum_{n_\mu(r)=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(i n_\mu(r) \Delta_\mu \phi(r) - \frac{n_\mu^2(r)}{2\kappa_\mu} \right). \quad (6)$$

^aThis form can also be reached by first using the Villain transformation, then exploiting the Poisson summation formula.

At this point the integration over ϕ is trivial, as ϕ can be viewed as a Lagrange multiplier ensuring the constraint $\Delta_\mu n_\mu(r) = 0$. Hence, $n_\mu(r)$ is divergence-less and can be written as the curl of an integer valued scalar field $n(r)$: $n_\mu(r) = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \Delta_\nu n(r)$, where $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is the 2d Levi-Civita symbol. We have

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_{r,\mu} \sum_{n_\mu(r)=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{\Delta_\mu n_\mu(r), 0} \exp \left(-\frac{n_\mu^2(r)}{2\kappa_\mu} \right) = \sum_{n(r)=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\kappa_1} \sum_r (\Delta_x n(r))^2 - \frac{1}{2\kappa_2} \sum_r (\Delta_y n(r))^2 \right), \quad (7)$$

where I used the fact that $n_\mu^2 = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon_{\mu\rho}\Delta_\nu n(r)\Delta_\rho n(r) = (\Delta_\mu n(r))^2$. Now, we use the Poisson summation formula

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{\phi} g(\tilde{\phi}) \exp(2\pi i m \tilde{\phi}), \quad (8)$$

noting that here $n = n(r)$, giving

$$\mathcal{Z} = \left[\prod_r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{\phi}(r) \right] \sum_{m(r)=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\kappa_1} \sum_r (\Delta_x \tilde{\phi}(r))^2 - \frac{1}{2\kappa_2} \sum_r (\Delta_y \tilde{\phi}(r))^2 + 2\pi i \sum_r m(r) \tilde{\phi}(r) \right). \quad (9)$$

In deriving the sine-Gordon theory we use some key insight [1]. As high-frequency modes are integrated out, chemical potential and long-range logarithmic interaction terms are generated (see Sec 2 for more details). Anticipating the generation of a chemical potential term $\ln y \sum_r m^2(r)$ through RG, we rewrite (9) as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\kappa_1} \sum_r (\Delta_x \tilde{\phi}(r))^2 - \frac{1}{2\kappa_2} \sum_r (\Delta_y \tilde{\phi}(r))^2 \right) \sum_{m(r)=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(\ln y \sum_r m^2(r) + 2\pi i \sum_r m(r) \tilde{\phi}(r) \right). \quad (10)$$

For small fugacities, it is sufficient to keep the terms $m(r) = -1, 0, 1$ in the summation over $m(r)$, as $|\ln y| \gg 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m(r)=0,\pm 1} \exp \left(\ln y \sum_r m^2(r) + 2\pi i \sum_r m(r) \tilde{\phi}(r) \right) &= \prod_r \sum_{m(r)=0,\pm 1} \exp \left(\ln y m^2(r) + 2\pi i m(r) \tilde{\phi}(r) \right) \\ &= \prod_r \left(1 + 2y \cos(2\pi \tilde{\phi}(r)) \right) \\ &\approx \exp \left(2y \sum_r \cos(2\pi \tilde{\phi}(r)) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and so (10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left(-\sum_r \left(\frac{1}{2\kappa_1} (\Delta_x \tilde{\phi}(r))^2 + \frac{1}{2\kappa_2} (\Delta_y \tilde{\phi}(r))^2 - 2y \cos(2\pi \tilde{\phi}(r)) \right) \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left(-\sum_r \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa_1\kappa_2}} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1}} (\Delta_x \tilde{\phi}(r))^2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa_1\kappa_2}} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2}} (\Delta_y \tilde{\phi}(r))^2 - 2y \cos(2\pi \tilde{\phi}(r)) \right) \right) \\ &\equiv \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left(-\sum_r \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa_1\kappa_2}} |\mathbf{D}' \tilde{\phi}(r)|^2 - g_\phi \cos(2\pi \tilde{\phi}(r)) \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

which is the dual sine-Gordon theory. As can be seen, the stiffnesses are simply inverted; I have defined the derivative

$$\mathbf{D}' = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1}} \hat{x} \partial_x + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2}} \hat{y} \partial_y \quad (13)$$

to contrast with the original nLSM

$$S[\phi] = \int_x \left[\frac{\sqrt{\kappa_1\kappa_2}}{2} |\mathbf{D}\phi|^2 \right] \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{D} = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2}} \hat{x} \partial_x + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1}} \hat{y} \partial_y. \quad (14)$$

2 Aside On Coulomb-Gas Representation

I will investigate the Coulomb gas representation, in which $\tilde{\phi}$ has been completely integrated out, to justify the introduction of a chemical potential term (10). The integration over $\tilde{\phi}$ in (9) is a standard Gaussian, resulting in

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{m(r)=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(-2\pi^2 \sum_{r,r'} m(r) G(r-r') m(r') \right), \quad (15)$$

where $G(r-r')$, for large $|r-r'|$, can be approximated by its continuum representation

$$G(r) = \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)}}{k_x^2/\kappa_1 + k_y^2/\kappa_2} = \sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2} \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e^{i(k_x(\sqrt{\kappa_1}x) + k_y(\sqrt{\kappa_2}y))}}{k^2}. \quad (16)$$

It is clear that $G(0)$ is divergent. Isolating this divergence,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{m(r)} \exp \left(-2\pi^2 G(0) \left(\sum_r m(r) \right)^2 - 2\pi^2 \sum_{r,r'} m(r) G'(r-r') m(r') \right), \quad (17)$$

it is clear to see that the divergence of $G(0)$ singles out neutral configurations $\sum_r m(r) = 0$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum'_{m(r)} \exp \left(-2\pi^2 \sum_{r,r'} m(r) G'(r-r') m(r') \right), \quad (18)$$

where the prime on the sum over $m(r)$ signifies a sum over neutral configurations only. The convergent part $G'(r-r')$ can be approximated by [1]

$$G'(r-r') \approx -\frac{\sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}}{2\pi} \ln \sqrt{\kappa_1(x-x')^2 + \kappa_2(y-y')^2} - \frac{\sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}}{4}, \quad (19)$$

from its structure in (16). Hence,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum'_{m(r)} \exp \left(\frac{\pi^2 \sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}}{2} \sum_{r \neq r'} m(r) m(r') + \pi \sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2} \sum_{r \neq r'} m(r) \ln \sqrt{\kappa_1(x-x')^2 + \kappa_2(y-y')^2} m(r') \right), \quad (20)$$

which can be further simplified by imposing the neutrality condition $\sum_r m(r) = 0$, such that

$$0 = \sum_{r,r'} m(r) m(r') = \sum_{r \neq r'} m(r) m(r') + \sum_r m^2(r), \quad (21)$$

giving

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum'_{m(r)} \exp \left(-\frac{\pi^2 \sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}}{2} \sum_r m^2(r) + \pi \sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2} \sum_{r \neq r'} m(r) \ln \sqrt{\kappa_1(x-x')^2 + \kappa_2(y-y')^2} m(r') \right). \quad (22)$$

Therefore, we see a chemical potential term with $\ln y = -\pi^2 \sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}/2$, and an anisotropic logarithmic interaction term with interaction strength $\sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}$. This interaction strength, $\sqrt{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}$, motivates absorbing the anisotropy into derivatives.

3 References

- [1] J. Kogut. An introduction to lattice gauge theory and spin systems. Rev. Mod. Phys. 51, 659.